JS 44 (Rev 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	occe siece. (SEE INSTRUCTIO	NO ON NEAT TAGE OF	rimsro	DEFENDANTS	3				
Kariem Thompson				Veterans Multi-Service Center, Inc.					
	ACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE Address, and Telephone Number) urphy Law Group, LLC,	Eight Penn Cente			(IN U.S. P. ONDEMNATI T OF LAND IN	<i>LAINTIFF CASES O</i> ON CASES, USE T	-	,	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	IIL CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in O	ne Box f	or Plaintif
O 1 US Government Plaintiff					TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1	incipal Place	Defenda PTF	int) DEF 3 4
CJ 2 US Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	12 (72	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	O 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 3	Foreign Nation		J 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		20				here for Nature			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Manne Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 7355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer w/Disabilities Employment ☐ 446 Amer w/Disabilities ☐ Other ☐ 448 Education ☐ ☐	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 60 Other LABOR OFair Labor Standards Act OLabor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Appe ☐ 423 With 28 U PROPEI ☐ 820 Copp ☐ 830 Pater ☐ 835 Pater ☐ 840 Trade SOCIAL ☐ 861 HIA ☐ 862 Blach ☐ 863 DIW ☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (FEDER: ☐ 870 Taxe or D ☐ 871 IRS— 26 U	RTY RIGHTS rights at 1- Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	OTHER S' 375 False Clan 376 Qui Tam (3729(a)) 400 State Reap 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerce 460 Deportatio 470 Racketeer Corrupt Or 480 Consumer 490 Cable/Sat 850 Securities Exchange 3891 Agricultur 893 Environme 3895 Freedom of Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administra Act/Review Agency De 950 Constitutio State Statu	ms Act 31 USC portion Banking minifluence rganizatic Credit TV Commod utory Ac al Acts atl Acts finform attive Pro wor Apple cosion onality of	ment g ded and cons dities/ ctions ters aution
	moved from ☐ 3 Re te Court Ap	pellate Court		pened Anothe (specify	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	· L	fultidist itigation irect Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statut 29 U.S.C. § 201, et Brief description of cause Unpaid overtime co	seq., 43 P.S. § 3	33 100,	et seq.	itutes unless di	versity)			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23, I	A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in co	omplair ⊐No	nt
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions)	JDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 7/9/19 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE	ORNEY C	DF PECORD					
• •	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	1 20	19		MAG JUD	OGE		

Case 2:19-cv-03028-JMY Document 1 Filed 07/11/19 Page 2 of 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AGETA

DESIG		

		he case for the purpose of assig	gnment to the appropriate calendary			
Address of Plaintiff:	1319 Weaver Street, Philadelphia, PA 19150 213-217 N. 4th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106					
Address of Defendant:	213-217 19. 401	N. 4th Ctract Dhi	ladalphia DA 10106			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 213-217 N. 4th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:	Judge*		Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answere						
1 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes N Yes						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4 Is this case a second or successive habeas corp case filed by the same individual?						
1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case	is / is not related to	any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in			
this court except as noted above.	///		91262			
DAIL TYCI	Attorney-at-Law	Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I D # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)			and the state of t			
A. Federal Question Cases:		B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	ases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and	d All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contra	act and Other Contracts			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury		2. Airplane Person3. Assault, Defama				
4. Antitrust		4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle P	Injury			
6. Labor-Management Relations		6. Other Personal I	njury (Please specify)			
(☐ 7.)Civ₁l Rights ☐ 8.)Habeas Corpus		7. Products Liabilit 8 Products Liabilit				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases		9. All other Divers (Please specify)	ity Cases			
☐ 11. All other Federal Question Cases		(i sease speegy)				
(Please specify)	-					
	ADDITDATIO	N CERTIFICATION				
(The effe	ARBITRATIO ct of this certification is to ren	N CERTIFICATION nove the case from eligibility	for arbitration)			
Michael Murphy		move the case from eligibility	for arbitration)			
I, Michael Murphy	ct of this certification is to ren counsel of record or pro se pla (2), that to the best of my k	nove the case from eligibility	for arbitration) amages recoverable in this civil action case			
Michael Murphy ursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c)	ct of this certification is to ren counsel of record or pro se pla (2), that to the best of my k of interest and costs:	nove the case from eligibility	·			
Michael Murphy ursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive	ct of this certification is to ren counsel of record or pro se pla (2), that to the best of my k of interest and costs:	annuff, do hereby certify anowledge and belief, the de	·			



Kariem Thompson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

3028

veterans ivium-service Ce	inter, Inc.	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the endesignation, that defendant sl the plaintiff and all other part	se Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (See vent that a defendant does no hall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, countion Form in all civil cases at the \$\frac{1}{2}\$ \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the tagree with the plaintiff regardicular submit to the clerk of court and sk Designation Form specifying the d.	time of reverse ng said erve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases b	(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()					
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()					
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special ide of this form for a detailed of	or intense management by	()		
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(x)		
7/9/19	Michael Murphy, Esq.	Kariem Thompson			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
(267) 273-1054	(215) 525-0210	murphy@phillyemploym	entlawyer.com		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
(Civ. 660) 10/02					



MURPHY LAW GROUP, LLC

DEDICATED TO PROTECTING EMPLOYEE RIGHTS

ATTORNEYS

MICHAEL MURPHY**
MICHAEL C GROH***
BENJAMIN SALVINA**
PREEYA BANSAL**
EDMUND C CELIESIUS*
RACHEL R STEVENS**

- *(Admitted in PA)
- **(Admitted in PA & N7)
- ***(Admitted in PA, NJ, & NY)

July 11, 2019

Via Hand-Delivery

Clerk of Court United States District Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street, Room 2609 Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: Kariem Thompson v. Veterans Multi-Service Center, Inc.

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed, for filing with respect to the above-referenced matter, please find an original and two copies of the Plaintiff's Civil Action Complaint, a Civil Cover Sheet, and a check made payable to Clerk, United States District Court, in the amount of \$400.00. Please time stamp the extra copy of the Complaint and return to me in the self-addressed envelope I have enclosed. A PDF copy of the Complaint has been saved on the enclosed disc.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Michael Murphy, Esq.
Michael Murphy, Esq.

MM/cl

Enclosures

cc: Kariem Thompson (via electronic mail)



Eight Penn Ctr., Ste 2000 1628 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19103 T 267 273.1054 F: 215.525 0210 murphy@phillyemploymentlawyer.com www.phillyemploymentlawyer.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KARIEM THOMPSON 1319 Weaver Street Philadelphia, PA 19150	:
Plaintiff,	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	:
VETERANS MULTI-SERVICE CENTER, INC. 213-217 N 4 th Street Philadelphia, PA 19106	
Defendants.	: :

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, Kariem Thompson ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorney, for his Complaint against Defendant, Veterans Multi-Service Center, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this Complaint contending that Defendant unlawfully failed to pay him overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek, pursuant to the requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. § 333.100, et seq.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Kariem Thompson is a citizen of the United States and Pennsylvania, and currently maintains a residence at 1319 Weaver Street, Philadelphia, PA 19150.
- 3. Defendant Veterans Multi-Service Center, Inc., is a non-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a registered business address of 213-217 N 4th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

- 4. The Defendant is a "private employer" and covered by the FLSA.
- 5. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant during all relevant times hereto and, as such, is an employee entitled to the protections of the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant acted or failed to act through its agents, servants, and/or employees thereto existing, each of whom acted at all times relevant thereto in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), which provides, in relevant part, that suit under the FLSA "may be maintained against any employer . . . in any Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction." See 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 8. This Court also has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because those claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative fact as his federal law claims. See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 10. The venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the Defendant resides in this judicial district, doing business therein, and the unlawful practices of which Plaintiff is complaining were committed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Paragraphs 1 through 10 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 12. Plaintiff first began his employment with Defendant in or around March of 2018, in the position of Driver.

- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff worked out of Defendant's Philadelphia, Pennsylvania location.
- 14. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff performed his job well, receiving positive feedback, and no significant discipline.
- 15. By way of background, Plaintiff was regularly scheduled to work an eight (8) hour shift, five (5) days per week. In total, Plaintiff was scheduled to work forty (40) hours each week.
- 16. As a Driver, Plaintiff took on a number of different responsibilities including driving, transporting donated furniture, and cleaning residences.
- 17. Despite being scheduled to work forty (40) hours per work week, Plaintiff was routinely required to work approximately fifty (50) hours per work week.
- 18. From March 2018 to March 2019, Plaintiff was not paid overtime compensation at a rate of 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in a workweek.
- 19. By way of example, during the week of March 4, 2019 through March 8, 2019, Plaintiff worked approximately fifty (50) hours, but did not receive any overtime compensation for the approximately ten (10) hours of overtime work performed.
- 20. Notably, Rose McGee ("Ms. McGee"), Manager, instructed Plaintiff to clock-out at 3:30 PM each work day despite Plaintiff continuing to perform compensable work after 3:30 PM. Additionally, in the event Plaintiff did not clock-out at 3:30 PM, Ms. McGee would adjust Plaintiff's clock-out time to reflect 3:30 PM.
- 21. Shortly after his hire, Plaintiff complained to Ms. McGee about not being compensated for all hours worked and not being payed overtime compensation.
- 22. Then, in or around the beginning of March of 2019, Plaintiff made another complaint to Ms. McGee via text messages regarding Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff

for all hours worked and not being payed overtime compensation. Shortly thereafter, on or about April 16, 2019, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.

- 23. Ms. McGee informed Plaintiff that overtime hours would be utilized as "compensatory time." Defendant's "compensatory time" was to allow Drivers to leave early the next work day after working more than eight (8) hours during a single shift. However, Plaintiff was never permitted to take "compensatory time" on any subsequent days pursuant to working in excess of forty (40) hours each work week.
- 24. The above-referenced behavior evidences the willfulness of Defendant's violations of the FLSA and PMWA.
- 25. In violation of the FLSA and PMWA, Defendant unlawfully failed to track, record, and report all the hours worked by Plaintiff.
- 26. Plaintiff is non-exempt within the meaning of the FLSA/PMWA as he was compensated from the date of his hire on an hourly basis. Because Plaintiff was paid hourly, he did not qualify for the executive, administrative, and/or learned professional exemptions under the FLSA/PMWA. All require Plaintiff to be paid on a bona fide salary basis or fee basis. See 29 C.F.R. §§ 541.100, 541.200, 541.300.
- 27. Plaintiff does not qualify for the exemption for executive employees under the FLSA/PMWA.
- 28. Plaintiff did not have the authority to hire, fire, or discipline other employees of Defendant, nor does he make recommendations with respect to employee status changes to which Defendant gives substantial weight.
- 29. Accordingly, Plaintiff does not qualify for the exemption for executive employees under the FLSA/PMWA.

- 30. Plaintiff's primary duty was not the performance of office or non-manual work directly related to Defendant's general business operations. Rather, Plaintiff spent the vast majority of his time driving, bending, lifting, pulling, holding, and carrying donated furniture. Further, Plaintiff primarily performed such work involving repetitive operations with his hands, physical skill and energy, which did not involve the exercise of discretion and independent judgment with respect to matters of significance.
- 31. Accordingly, Plaintiff was not exempt from overtime compensation pursuant to the exemption for administrative employees under the FLSA/PMWA.
- 32. Additionally, Plaintiff did not perform work requiring advance knowledge in a field of science or learning acquired through a prolonged course of intellectual instruction. In this regard, Plaintiff's job duties did not require the consistent exercise of discretion and judgment, as distinguished from performance of routine mental, manual, and mechanical work.
- 33. Plaintiff was not exempt from overtime compensation pursuant to the exemption for learned professionals under the FLSA/PMWA.
- 34. Plaintiff exclusively drove non-commercial vehicles, i.e., vehicles weighing less than ten thousand (10,000) pounds.
- 35. Plaintiff was not required to have a commercial driver's license (CDL) in order to perform the role of Driver.
- 36. Accordingly, Plaintiff was not exempt from the payment of overtime compensation due to the Small Vehicle Exception to the Motor Carrier Exemption. See 29 U.S.C. § 13(b)(1); see also McMaster v. E. Armored Servs., 780 F.3d 167, 169-72 (3d Cir. 2015) (holding that when the job duties of an employee otherwise covered by the FLSA affect, in whole or in part, the safe operation of vehicles lighter than 10,000 pounds, the Small Vehicle Exception to the Motor Carrier

Exemption applies and the employee will be entitled to overtime compensation). As such, Plaintiff qualifies for the Small Vehicle Exception to the Motor Carrier Exemption under the FLSA/PMWA.

- 37. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was a "non-exempt" employee under the FLSA/PMWA, and entitled to receive overtime compensation at a rate of 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in a work week.
- 38. Plaintiff was, within the meaning of the FLSA and PMWA, a non-exempt employee of Defendant and is therefore entitled to overtime compensation for the hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.
 - 39. As a result of Defendant's aforesaid illegal actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

COUNT I FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 29 U.S.C § 201, ET SEQ. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 40. Paragraphs 1 through 39 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 41. Pursuant to Section 206 of the FLSA, all employees must be compensated for every hour worked in a workweek.
- 42. Moreover, Section 207(a) of the FLSA states that employees must be paid overtime, equal to 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 43. According to the policies and practices of Defendant, Plaintiff has worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Despite working in excess of forty (40) hours per week, Plaintiff was denied overtime compensation for compensable work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per

week in violation of the FLSA. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff at a rate of at least 1.5 times his regular rate of pay for each hour Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

- 44. The foregoing actions of Defendant and the policies and practices of Defendant violated the FLSA.
- 45. Defendant's actions were willful, not in good faith and in reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.
- 46. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, liquidated damages, and other equitable relief, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), as well as reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. Adjudicating and declaring that Defendant's conduct as set forth herein and above is in violation of the FLSA;
- B. Adjudicating and declaring that Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay overtime pay to Plaintiff for the work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per week;
- C. Awarding Plaintiff back pay wages and/or overtime wages in an amount consistent with the FLSA;
 - D. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in accordance with the FLSA;
- E. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and all costs of this action, to be paid by Defendant, in accordance with the FLSA;
- F. Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest and court costs as further allowed by law;
 - G. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.

COUNT II PENNSYLVANIA MINIMUM WAGE ACT OF 1968 43 P.S. § 333.100, ET SEQ. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 47. Paragraphs 1 through 46 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 48. The Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act provides that employers must pay certain "minimum wages," including overtime wages, to their employees. <u>See</u> 43 P.S. § 333.104.
- 49. The Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act further provides that "employees shall be paid overtime not less than one and one-half times the employee's regular rate" for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek. <u>See</u> 43 P.S. § 333.104.
- 50. By its actions alleged above, Defendant violated the provisions of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act of 1968 by failing to properly pay overtime compensation.
- 51. As a result of Defendant's unlawful acts, Plaintiff has been deprived overtime compensation in amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of such amounts, together with interest, costs and attorney's fees pursuant to the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act of 1968, 43 P.S. § 333.113.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. An award to Plaintiff for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation to which he is entitled, including interest thereon, and penalties subject to proof;
- B. An award to Plaintiff of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act; and
- C. An award to Plaintiff for any other damages available to him under applicable Pennsylvania law, and all such other relief as this Court may deem proper.

COUNT III FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 29 U.S.C § 201, ET SEQ. RETALIATION

- 52. Paragraphs 1 through 51 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 53. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity under the FLSA by complaining of Defendant's unlawful business practice regarding unpaid wages and/or unpaid overtime compensation.
- 54. Shortly thereafter, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff by terminating Plaintiff's employment for reasons which are clearly pretextual.
- 55. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant, through their agents, officers, servants, and/or employees have violated the FLSA by discharging Plaintiff in retaliation for engaging in protected activity under the FLSA.
- 56. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, unlawful, and malicious acts as set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment, promotion benefits, earnings, earnings potential, raises, other significant economic benefits, emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant and grant the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but no less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);

- B. Punitive, liquidated, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for their intentional, negligent, willful, wanton, and/or malicious conduct;
- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this matter;
 - D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount;
 - E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by applicable federal law.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

MURPAY LAW GROUP, LLC

By:

Michael Murphy, Esq.

Eight Penn Center, Suite 2000

1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd. Philadelphia PA 10103

Philadelphia, PA 19103 TEL: 267-273-1054

FAX: 215-525-0210

murphy@phillyemploymentlawyer.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 79

DEMAND TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

The Defendant is hereby demanded to preserve all physical and electronic information pertaining in any way to Plaintiff's employment, to his potential claims and his claims to damages, to any defenses to same, including, but not limited to electronic data storage, employment files, files, memos, job descriptions, text messages, e-mails, spreadsheets, images, cache memory, payroll records, paystubs, time records, timesheets, and any other information and/or data which may be relevant to any claim or defense in this litigation.